



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

roamification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



File:

EAC 98 037 51986

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: APR 25 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:





INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER.

EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office Page 2 EAC 98 037 51986

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Associate Commissioner for Examinations. A subsequent motion to reopen and reconsider was granted by the Associate Commissioner for Examinations, and the previous decision of the Associate Commissioner was affirmed. A second motion to reopen and reconsider was granted by the Associate Commissioner and once again the original decision of the Associate Commissioner was affirmed. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on a third motion to reopen. The motion will be dismissed. The previous decisions of the Associate Commissioner will be affirmed.

The petitioner is an international trade company. The petitioner seeks to extend its authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States as its president. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been or would be employed in a primarily managerial or executive capacity for the United States entity. The Associate Commissioner affirmed these determinations on appeal.

On motion, counsel submits additional evidence to address the grounds of the director's denial and the findings of the Associate Commissioner. Based on the plain meaning of "new," a new fact is found to be evidence that was not available and could not have been discovered or presented in the previous proceeding. Counsel's letter clearly states that the petitioner inadvertently failed to submit this additional evidence with the previous motions.

8 CFR 103.5(a)(2) states, in pertinent part: "A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence."

A review of the evidence that the petitioner submits on motion reveals no fact that could be considered "new" under 8 CFR 103.5(a)(2). All evidence submitted was previously available and could have been discovered or presented in the previous proceeding.

Although the motion will be dismissed, a further note will be made for the record.

The petitioner claims on motion that it has two overseas offices in China and Honduras and the beneficiary has been and will continue to manage all three business entities.

The word "new" is defined as "1. having existed or been made for only a short time . . . 3. Just discovered, found, or learned <new evidence> " Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary 792 (1984) (emphasis in original).

The statutory definitions of executive and managerial capacity refer to an assignment within an organization in which the employee either manages the organization or directs the management of the organization. Section 101(a)(28) of the Act defines "organization" as follows: "The term 'organization' means, but is not limited to, an organization, corporation, company, partnership, association, trust, foundation or fund; and includes a group of persons, whether or not incorporated, permanently or temporarily associated together with joint action on any subject or subjects." The statutory definition of an organization would not reasonably include a foreign corporation that is an entity separate and distinct from the petitioning organization. The petitioner has not provided any evidence to establish that the United States entity and the foreign companies are either permanently or temporarily associated through ownership, contract, or other legal means. Accordingly, the beneficiary's claimed managerial or executive duties that relate to the employees of the foreign corporations may not be considered for purposes of this nonimmigrant visa petition.

Motions for the reopening of immigration proceedings are disfavored for the same reasons as are petitions for rehearing and motions for a new trial on the basis of newly discovered evidence. INS v. Doherty, 502 U.S. 314, 323 (1992) (citing INS v. Abudu, 485 U.S. 94 (1988)). A party seeking to reopen a proceeding bears a "heavy burden." INS v. Abudu, 485 U.S. at 110. With the current motion, the movant has not met that burden.

Finally, it should be noted for the record that, unless the Service directs otherwise, the filing of a motion to reopen or reconsider does not stay the execution of any decision in a case or extend a previously set departure date. 8 CFR 103.5(a)(1)(iv).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden. 8 CFR 103.5(a)(4) states that "[a] motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed." Accordingly, the motion will be dismissed, the proceedings will not be reopened, and the previous decisions of the director and the Associate Commissioner will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The motion is dismissed.